

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,668	08/16/2001	Andrew Michael Pelletier	GEMS:00551/YOD 31-PN-62	3806
75	590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
Fletcher, Yoder	& Van Someren			
P.O. Box 692289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX	Houston, TX 77269-2289 3762			

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
Advisory Action	09/931,668	PELLETIER ET AL.	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	7
	Mark W Bockelman	3762	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which the contract which are the contract with the contrac	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	pellant's Brief must be filed with R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	in the period set for of the appeal.	th in
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:	·	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to		•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
<ul> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or to ould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· ·	. 1
10. Other:		MARK BOCKELN	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There are no convincing arguments filed. The terms "historical" and "real time" and the use of a browser to control the mode of transmission as applied in the rejection of claims 16 and 28 by the examiner's are consistent with the limited discussion found on pages 14-15 of applicant's specification. Additionally when the "playback option" as well as the ability to retrieve complete archived records afforded by Gat (column 7 lines 9-35) would provide for the transmission of historical data versus realtime ((column 6 line 25). Either interpretation of the references certainly meet applicant's claim language on its face value. With regard to claim 23, the examiner considered the Menu 36 of Gat to be a demonstration of the user selectable command devices that permits various operations of the device. The reference mentions zooming and "playback" which would of course require such selectable buttons or hyperlinks. The examiner again emphasizes that applicant's have provided very little description concerning the these features.